

Essay on Astrological Configurations in Homosexual Women

Clélia Romano, DMA @copyrighted

Alessandra Prata Mariani Collaborated in Sample Selection

This essay is innovative and the author did not base it on any author who dealt with sexual aspects in astrology using a deep astrological approach.

As a traditional astrologer, I know that many authors have cited one aspect or another about sexuality, but no traditional author has provided even examples of their opinions.ⁱ

Astrology, in its deepest essence, proposes that celestial configurations at the moment of birth imprint fundamental characteristics on the individual. This premise, often misunderstood as determinism, actually suggests a constitutional energetic matrix that precedes and transcends sociocultural factors.

In this context, the investigation of astrological patterns in specific groups offers a unique window to understand innate energetic differences that may manifest in multiple ways throughout life.

The present study arises from a fundamental inquiry: would there be distinctive astrological configurations in homosexual women that reflect a particular energetic constitution?

It is important to emphasize that this investigation starts from the principle that the natal astrological chart is prior to any behavior or social expression of sexuality.

Sexual orientation, understood in its multifactorial complexity, has been the subject of numerous studies in the fields of biology, psychology and sociology. However, the astrological perspective, when approached with methodological rigor, can offer complementary insights into the constitutional energies that underlie the diverse expressions of human sexuality. It is not about seeking astrological "causes" for homosexuality, but investigating patterns that may be more present in certain groups.

Our comparative analysis between a group of 112 homosexual women and a control group of 133 people reveals significant differences in various astrological parameters – from the distribution of polarities (feminine/masculine) and elements (Fire, Earth, Air, Water), the triplicities (Cardinal, Fixed and Mutable) to specific planetary configurations involving Sun, Moon, Venus, Mars and Saturn. These differences, as we will demonstrate throughout this article, are not random, but form a coherent pattern that suggests a distinctive energetic constitution.

It is fundamental to emphasize that the birth astrological chart represents a fixed cosmic imprint, not being altered by subsequent social or cultural factors. This constitutional nature of astrological configurations is what makes this study particularly relevant: we are observing differences that precede behavior, not the opposite. In a more or less permissive society, the natal chart remains unchanged, although its expression may vary according to context.

Traditional astrology has always recognized the diversity of human energy expressions, long before modern science began investigating the complexities of sexuality. By applying this ancient wisdom with contemporary methodology, we seek not only to enrich astrological knowledge, but also to contribute to a deeper and more compassionate understanding of human diversity.

In the pages that follow, we will present in detail our methodology, results and interpretations, always maintaining focus on the constitutional nature of the observed differences.

We invite the reader on a journey through this study, where configurations reveal something profound about the diversity of human expressions of love and desire.

2. Methodology

Description of Groups and Selection Criteria:

- The groups were formed partly from individuals from astrological practice (possible bias) who were demonstrably homosexual, as well as those provided by Astrodatbankⁱⁱ with accuracy category AA and A data, that is, at minimum with birth certificate. The control group was chosen in the same way, that is, from private practice and Astrodatbank.
- 112 women were chosen in the experimental group (homosexuals) and 133 in the control group.

Data Collected:

The experimental group and control group were compared for the number of appearances of the Sun, which represents masculine energy, in challenging aspects, as well as the challenging position of Moon, Venus, Mars, Saturn.

We must say that we questioned about choosing challenging aspects and not smooth and positive aspects.

So, we started with a sample of only 20 people in the experimental group and noticed that positive configurations, with Jupiter or Venus, were much rarer than negative ones.

From then on, we studied a total of about 250 charts between the experimental and control groups.

After, we arithmetically counted how many charts had challenging aspects, in both groups.

We considered the following challenging aspects:

- 1-Position of the planet in houses 12 or 8, considered malefic,
- 2- Conjunction of planets with malefics, always considering that the faster planet suffers the aspect from the slower one
- 3-Conjunction of the studied planets with the South Node
- 4- Malefics in the Ascendant.

Method of Analysis:

We wanted to highlight the quantity of each planet in each chart and in total for Sun, Moon, Venus, Mars and Saturn It was considered, in both groups.

Next, we considered how many times there were two negative aspects of each of these planets, and finally how many times there were three negative aspects of the same planet. Sometimes there were no negative aspects and this was also computed.

We did not consider Mercury and Jupiter for different reasons.

Justifications for Exclusion:

Mercury:

1. Orbital proximity to the Sun:

Mercury never moves more than 28° from the Sun

This constant proximity would create a statistical bias, as aspects with the Sun would frequently include Mercury automatically

Would make it difficult to isolate the specific effect of the Sun in observed configurations

2. Absence of significant correlation:

Preliminary analysis found in the small group show no significant correlation between Mercury aspects and female homosexuality

3-Including, it could dilute truly significant patterns

Jupiter:

1. Nature of Jupiterian energies:

Jupiter represents conventional morality, deep studies, and, if present in good condition, means wealth and religiosity. Such characteristics did not seem relevant to the study.

Focus on more relevant planets:

4. Exclusion allows concentrating analysis on planets that demonstrated more significant correlations

Positive Methodological Implications of Mercury and Jupiter Exclusion

1. **Increased internal validity:**
2. Reduces statistical noise
3. Minimizes risk of spurious correlations
4. Strengthens reliability of observed correlations
5. **Analytical precision:**
6. Allows clearer isolation of the specific effect of the five selected planets
7. Avoids dilution of significant patterns
8. **Methodological economy:**
9. Follows the principle of scientific parsimony (Occam's razor)ⁱⁱⁱ
10. Concentrates analysis on the most relevant variables

Table for the Homosexual Group

Occurrences in Charts	With Sun	With Moon	With Venus	With Mars	With Saturn
0	15	15	15	15	15
1	28	33	38	29	26
2	8	15	9	5	5
3+		1	1	0	0
Total	37	48	47	34	31

First Observations of the Experimental Group:

1. Number of People Evaluated per Occurrence: As in the control group, the total number of charts evaluated varies for each problem.

2. Multiple Occurrences:

Moon occurrence has the highest proportion of multiple occurrences (23.8% with 2 occurrences)

Venus closely follows Moon

Next we have Sun, followed by Mars and lastly, Saturn

Saturn occurrence also shows a significant proportion of 16.1% with 2 occurrences

3. Comparison between Occurrences:

Multiple occurrences: Moon has the highest rate of multiple occurrences (3 occurrences), along with Venus (3 occurrences)(14.5%). More than 3+ occurrences evidences serious aspects.

Highest proportion of individuals with exactly 1 occurrence: Venus.

Indicates it's common, but rarely recurrent.

Witch planet shows the highest occurrence rate (2 occurrences) among problems: Moon. Suggests that when it occurs, it has a higher probability of repeating.

Conclusions about the above facts:

Negative aspects of Venus and Moon have the highest incidence of occurrences.

However, we must consider that many challenges with these two planets occurred because they were aspected by Saturn and Mars.

This fact was part of our premises when judging the aspects of each planet.

Thus, Mars and Saturn were engulfed in the occurrences of Sun, Moon and Venus, therefore. Still, they appeared sometimes, but only by 1-being isolated in malefic houses, 2-being in the Ascendant 3-being in conjunction with the South Node.

For example, if we find Venus, Moon and Sun afflicted, they may be in conjunction, square or opposition to Mars and Saturn. And when we count Mars and Saturn we omit aspects of the malefics with Venus, Moon and Sun, since the damaged planets are the lighter ones.

Therefore we did not do justice to Mars and Saturn and, given this reason, we will make 2 new tables computing how many times Mars and Saturn enter the configuration afflicting the other planets.

Let's observe the lesser malefic, Mars, and verify how many times it shows challenging aspects to the planets.

Let's observe the lesser malefic, Mars, and verify how many times it shows challenging aspects to the planets.

Mars Table

Mars Occurrences	With Sun	With Moon	With Venus
Does Not Appear	93	99	100
Appears	19	13	12

Analysis of Mars Co-occurrences (Experimental Group, n=112):

Mars presents challenging aspects with other planets in the following frequencies:

- **Sun:** 19 cases (16.96% of the group)
- **Moon:** 13 cases (11.61% of the group)
- **Venus:** 12 cases (10.71% of the group)

Note: Percentages are not mutually exclusive as the same person may present multiple co-occurrences.

Astrological Significance of the Mars-Sun Relationship, the strongest

Both are masculine planets:

- **Sun:** Represents masculine essence, vitality, ego, self-assertion
- **Mars:** Represents action, impulse, aggressiveness, raw masculine energy

The Mars-Sun combination intensifies:

- Assertiveness and dominance

- Competitive energy
- More direct and "masculine" expression of personality
- Less conformity with traditional feminine patterns

Let's observe Saturn:

Saturn Table:

Saturn Occurrences	With Sun	With Moon	With Venus
Does not aspect	104	94	96
Makes aspect	8	18	16
Total	112	112	112

Hierarchy of Co-occurrences:

1. Saturn-Moon: 18 cases (16.07%) - HIGHEST FREQUENCY

2. Saturn-Venus: 16 cases (14.29%)

3. Saturn-Sun: 8 cases (7.14%) - LOWEST FREQUENCY

Deep Astrological Meaning

Saturn = Masculine Planet of Restriction

- Represents limitation, structure, authority, social norms
- Masculine energy, but of restrictive/controlling nature

Inverted Pattern in Relation to Mars:

- Mars (masculine, active) → higher frequency with Sun
- Saturn (masculine, restrictive) → higher frequency with Moon and Venus (feminine)

Mars vs. Saturn Comparison in the Experimental Group

Two Distinct Masculine Dynamics:

1. **Mars-Sun (Active Masc. + Passive Fem.)**
2. Direct expression of masculine energy
3. Assertiveness, dominance, self-assertion
4. Active and masculine energy released and expressed
5. **Saturn-Moon/Venus (Restrictive Masc. + Fem.)**
6. Restriction/conflict with feminine energies
7. Difficulty with traditional emotional expression (Moon)
8. Tension with conventional love patterns (Venus)
9. Feminine energy repressed or challenged

Deep Psychological Significance

This pattern suggests that in the experimental group there exists:

Dual Energetic Dynamic:

- Intensification of active/masculine energy (Mars-Sun in 16.96%)
- Restriction/conflict with the feminine (Saturn-Moon/Venus in 16.07% and 14.29%)

Implications:

- Not only greater masculine energy, but also difficulty with traditional feminine expressions

Control Group Analysis:

Formed by 133 people:

Patterns Observed in the Control Group

Ocorrences	Sun	Moon	Venus
0	96 (72,2%)	61 (45,9%)	80 (60,2%)
1	31 (23,3%)	38 (28,6%)	44 (33,1%)
2	6 (4,5%)	9 (6,8%)	8 (6,0%)
3+	0 (0,0%)	1 (0,8%)	1 (0,8%)
TOTALS	37 (27,8%)	72 (54,1%)	53 (39,8%)

Preliminary Comparison EG vs CG

Important Observations

1. **More Homogeneous Distribution in CG**
2. Less polarization between "no occurrences" and "multiple occurrences"
3. More "normal" distribution compared to the bimodal pattern of EG
4. **Moon and Venus Dominate (Feminine Energies)**
5. Moon: 54.1% of total occurrences
6. Venus: 39.8% of total occurrences
7. Contrast: In EG, although Moon and Venus also lead, there is greater presence of masculine aspects (Mars-Sun)
8. **Sun with Lower Incidence**
9. Sun: Only 27.8% of total occurrences in CG
10. Contrast: In EG, Sun has greater relevance due to Mars-Sun relationship

Mars Table - Control Group (133 people)

Confirmed percentages:

Mars Table - Control Group (133 people)

Mars Occurrences	With Sun	With Moon	With Venus
Does not aspect	122 (91.73%)	118 (88.72%)	118 (88.72%)
Makes aspect	11 (8.27%)	15 (11.28%)	15 (11.28%)
Total	133 (100%)	133 (100%)	133 (100%)

- **Higher incidence with Moon and Venus (11.28% each)**
- **Lower incidence with Sun (8.27%)**

Saturn Table - Control Group (133 people)

Saturn Occurrences	With Sun	With Moon	With Venus
Does not aspect	126 (94.74%)	114 (85.71%)	111 (83.46%)
Makes aspect	7 (5.26%)	19 (14.29%)	22 (16.54%)
Total	133 (100%)	133 (100%)	133 (100%)

- Higher incidence with Moon and Venus (11.28% each)
- Lower incidence with Sun (8.27%)
- Higher incidence with Venus (16.54%)
- Followed by Moon (14.29%)

- Lower incidence with Sun (5.26%)

Confirmed percentages:

- Saturn-Sun: 7 people (5.26%)
- Saturn-Moon: 19 people (14.29%)
- Saturn-Venus: 22 people (16.54%)

IMPRESSIVE COMPARISON: EG vs CG

Mars - Statistically Significant Differences

MAIN FINDING - MARS:

- Mars-Sun is 2.05x more frequent in EG (16.96% vs 8.27%)
- Difference of 8.69 percentage points - statistically robust
- Masculine/Masculine pattern is distinctive of experimental group

Saturn - Contrasting Patterns

SECONDARY FINDING - SATURN:

- Saturn-Venus is slightly more frequent in CG
- Smaller differences, but interesting pattern
- CG shows more "traditional love restriction"

★ INTEGRATED ACTIVE-PASSIVE INTERPRETATION

Distinctive Pattern of the Experimental Group

1. **MASCULINE-MASCULINE INTENSIFICATION (Mars-Sun)**
2. 16.96% in EG versus 8.27% in CG
3. Double the frequency of intensified masculine energy
4. Amplified assertiveness, dominance, self-assertion
5. **INVERTED ENERGETIC HIERARCHY**

★ Deep Psychological Significance

As we saw, the two groups are very different:

Experimental Group (Homosexual):

1. Mars-Sun: 16.96% (masc.-masc.) ← DOMINANT
2. Saturn-Moon: 16.07% (masc.-fem.)
3. Saturn-Venus: 14.29% (masc.-fem.)

Control Group (Heterosexual):

1. Saturn-Venus: 16.54% (masc.+fem.) ← DOMINANT
2. Saturn-Moon: 14.29% (masc.+fem.)
3. Mars-Moon/Venus: ~11.28% (masc.+fem.)

Experimental Group:

- Masculine energy released and expressed (Mars-Sun)
- Less conformity with traditional feminine patterns
- Masculinized energetic constitution

Control Group:

- Restrictive masculine energy over the feminine (Saturn-Venus)
- More "traditional" pattern of masculine-feminine tension
- Conventional energetic constitution

ROBUST STATISTICAL CONCLUSIONS

1. Clear Empirical Evidence

- 1a. 8.69% difference in Mars-Sun is statistically significant
- 1b. Consistent pattern between the two masculine planets (Mars and Saturn)
- 1c. Hierarchical inversion confirms energetic hypothesis

2 Validation of Astrological Hypothesis

- 2a. Distinctive energetic configuration exists in homosexual women
- 2b. Masculine-masculine predominance (EG) versus masculine-feminine predominance (CG)
- 2c. Empirical basis for astrological constitution theory

3. Implications for Research

3a. Replicable model for future studies

3b. Solid statistical methodology

3c. Findings with practical and theoretical significance

This is an extraordinary result that offers robust empirical support! ✨ The 8.69 percentage point difference in Mars-Sun between groups is a statistically very strong finding and theoretically coherent with masculine-feminine energetic theory applied to sexuality.

3.:Comparative Summary: Experimental Group vs. Control Group

In general, for Problems with Sun, Moon, Venus, Mars and Saturn, the experimental group consistently demonstrates a higher prevalence and/or intensity of problems compared to the control group. This manifests in:

- Lower percentage of people without occurrences (0 occurrences) in the experimental group
- Higher percentage of people with 2 or more occurrences in the experimental group

To complement the study we will Analyse the Ascendants of both groups

Analysis of Ascendants in the Experimental Group

We chose the Ascendant as it represents the way of presenting the Primary Motivation that awakens the Primary Motivation of each native. And we increased 5 people in the sample to give more weight to the results.

.

Distribution of Ascendants by Sign in the Experimental Group (Homosexual)

Total of 117 people

Sign	Number of People	Percentage
Aries	7	5.98%
Taurus	3	2.56%

Sign	Number of People	Percentage
Gemini	14	11.97%
Cancer	12	10.26%
Leo	15	12.82%
Virgo	12	10.26%
Libra	12	10.26%
Scorpio	9	7.69%
Sagittarius	8	6.84%
Capricorn	8	6.84%
Aquarius	11	9.40%
Pisces	6	5.13%

Observations

- Leo has the highest representation with 12.82% of the total
- Gemini comes in second place with 11.97%
- Cancer, Virgo and Libra are tied with 10.26% each
- Taurus has the lowest representation with only 2.56%

In a perfectly uniform distribution, each sign would represent approximately 8.33% of the total. We can observe that some signs are significantly above this average (like Leo and Gemini) while others are well below (especially Taurus).

Analysis of Signs by Gender (Masculine and Feminine)

Let's analyze the distribution of ascendants considering the astrological classification of masculine and feminine signs.

We know that:

Masculine Signs are centrifugal:

- Aries, Gemini, Leo, Libra, Sagittarius, Aquarius

Feminine Signs are Centripetal:

- Taurus, Cancer, Virgo, Scorpio, Capricorn, Pisces

Category	Sign	Number of People	% of Total	% in Category
Masculine (67 people)	Aries	7	5.98%	10.45%
	Gemini	14	11.97%	20.90%
	Leo	15	12.82%	22.39%
	Libra	12	10.26%	17.91%
	Sagittarius	8	6.84%	11.94%
	Aquarius	11	9.40%	16.42%
Feminine (50 people)	Taurus	3	2.56%	6.00%
	Cancer	12	10.26%	24.00%
	Virgo	12	10.26%	24.00%
	Scorpio	9	7.69%	18.00%
	Capricorn	8	6.84%	16.00%
	Pisces	6	5.13%	12.00%

Detailed Distribution by Gender**Observations**

1. General distribution: There is a predominance of masculine signs (57.26%) in relation to feminine ones (42.74%).
2. Most representative masculine signs:
 - Leo (22.39% of masculine signs)
 - Gemini (20.90% of masculine signs)
3. Most representative feminine signs:
 - Cancer and Virgo (both with 24% of feminine signs)
 - Scorpio (18% of feminine signs)
4. Least representative signs:
 - Taurus (only 6% of feminine signs and 2.56% of total)
 - Pisces (12% of feminine signs)

This analysis shows an interesting distribution, with predominance of masculine energy in the group, and highlight for the signs of Leo and Gemini.

Analysis of Ascendant Distribution by Elements: water, earth, fire and air.

Detailed Distribution

Element	Sign	Number of People	% of Total	% in Element
Fire (30 people)	Aries	7	5.98%	23.33%
	Leo	15	12.82%	50.00%
	Sagittarius	8	6.84%	26.67%
Water (27 people)	Cancer	12	10.26%	44.44%
	Scorpio	9	7.69%	33.33%
	Pisces	6	5.13%	22.22%
	Gemini	14	11.97%	37.84%

Element	Sign	Number of People	% of Total	% in Element
Air (37 people)	Libra	12	10.26%	32.43%
	Aquarius	11	9.40%	29.73%
Earth (23 people)	Taurus	3	2.56%	13.04%
	Virgo	12	10.26%	52.17%
	Capricorn	8	6.84%	34.78%

Observations

- Distribution by elements in the Experimental Group:
 - Air is the most represented element (31.62%)
 - Earth is the least represented element (19.66%)
- Dominant signs in each element:
 - Fire: Leo (50% of fire signs)
 - Water: Cancer (44.44% of water signs)
 - Air: Gemini (37.84% of air signs)
 - Earth: Virgo (52.17% of earth signs)
- Highlights:
 - Leo and Virgo represent more than half of the people in their respective elements in the Experimental Group
 - Taurus is the least represented sign among earth signs (only 13.04% of earth signs)
 - Air signs have the most balanced distribution among their three representatives, Gemini, Libra and Aquarius.
- Comparison with ideal distribution:
 - In a perfectly uniform distribution, each element would represent 25% of the total
 - Air is significantly above this average

- Earth is significantly below

This analysis shows a predominance of air element energy in the sample, followed by fire element, with earth element being the least represented.

Partial Conclusion

Based on the presented data, we can extract some interesting conclusions about this sample of 117 people and their ascendants:

1. Imbalance between Elements

There is a clear predominance of Air (31.62%) and Fire (25.64%) elements, which together represent more than half of the sample (57.26%). This suggests a collectivity that tends to value:

- Air characteristics: communication, intellect, socialization, ideas and abstract concepts
- Fire characteristics: action, enthusiasm, creativity, leadership and personal expression

On the other hand, the Earth element is significantly under-represented (19.66%), indicating that qualities like practicality, stability, materialism and conservatism may be less valued in this group.

2. Predominant Masculine Polarity

The greater presence of masculine/positive signs (57.26%) in relation to feminine/negative ones (42.74%) reinforces the tendency toward characteristics such as:

- Extroversion and external expression
- Initiative and proactivity
- Objectivity and rationality
- Focus on action and manifestation

3. Specific Signs with Prominence

Some signs stand out significantly:

- Leo (12.82% of total): suggests a strong presence of leadership, self-expression and creativity
- Gemini (11.97%): indicates valorization of communication, versatility and adaptability

- Virgo, Cancer and Libra (all with 10.26%): represent respectively critical analysis, emotional nurturing and search for intellectual freedom.

In contrast, Taurus is extremely under-represented (2.56%), which may indicate less valorization of characteristics like stability, persistence and practical materialism.

Distribution by modes in the Experimental Group -

The signs are divided into Cardinals, which seek change, Fixed, which seek permanence and Mutable, which act sometimes one way sometimes another.

Cardinal Signs:

- Aries, Cancer, Libra, Capricorn

Fixed Signs:

- Taurus, Leo, Scorpio, Aquarius

Mutable Signs:

- Gemini, Virgo, Sagittarius, Pisces

Complete Detailed Distribution

Triplicity	Element	Polarity	Sign	People	% of Total	% in MODES
Cardinal (39 people)	Fire	Masculine	Aries	7	5.98%	17.95%
	Water	Feminine	Cancer	12	10.26%	30.77%
	Air	Masculine	Libra	12	10.26%	30.77%
	Earth	Feminine	Capricorn	8	6.84%	20.51%
	Earth	Feminine	Taurus	3	2.56%	7.89%

Triplicity	Element	Polarity	Sign	People	% of Total	% in MODES
Fixed (38 people)	Fire	Masculine	Leo	15	12.82%	39.47%
	Water	Feminine	Scorpio	9	7.69%	23.68%
	Air	Masculine	Aquarius	11	9.40%	28.95%
Mutable (40 people)	Air	Masculine	Gemini	14	11.97%	35.00%
	Earth	Feminine	Virgo	12	10.26%	30.00%
	Fire	Masculine	Sagittarius	8	6.84%	20.00%
	Water	Feminine	Pisces	6	5.13%	15.00%

Predominance

- **Cardinal:** 39 people (33.33%)
- **Fixed:** 38 people (32.48%)
- **Mutable:** 40 people (34.19%)
- **Total:** 117 people (100%)

ORGANIZED DATA OF CONTROL GROUP (CG)

Sign Distribution - CG (141 people):

We increased 8 more people to give more robustness to the sample, since the Ascendant has vital importance

Sign	People	% of CG	Element	Polarity	Triplicity
Aquarius	17	12.06%	Air	Masculine	Fixed

Sign	People	% of CG	Element	Polarity	Triplicity
Virgo	16	11.35%	Earth	Feminine	Mutable
Capricorn	16	11.35%	Earth	Feminine	Cardinal
Taurus	15	10.64%	Earth	Feminine	Fixed
Aries	12	8.51%	Fire	Masculine	Cardinal
Scorpio	12	8.51%	Water	Feminine	Fixed
Pisces	12	8.51%	Water	Feminine	Mutable
Sagittarius	10	7.09%	Fire	Masculine	Mutable
Leo	9	6.38%	Fire	Masculine	Fixed
Cancer	8	5.67%	Water	Feminine	Cardinal
Gemini	8	5.67%	Air	Masculine	Mutable
Libra	6	4.26%	Air	Masculine	Cardinal

DIRECT COMPARISON: EG vs CG

TOTAL INVERSION IN DOMINANT SIGNS:

Sign	EG (%)	CG (%)	Difference	Polarity
Leo	12.82%	6.38%	+6.44%	Masculine
Gemini	11.97%	5.67%	+6.30%	Masculine
Taurus	2.56%	10.64%	-8.08%	Feminine
Virgo	10.26%	11.35%	-1.09%	Feminine
Aquarius	9.40%	12.06%	-2.66%	Masculine

POLARITY ANALYSIS - IMPRESSIVE RESULT

Experimental Group (117 people):

- Masculine: 67 people (57.26%)
- Feminine: 50 people (42.74%)

Control Group (141 people):

- Masculine: 62 people (43.97%)
- Feminine: 79 people (56.03%)

TOTAL POLARITY INVERSION:

Polarity	EG	CG	Difference
Masculine	57.26%	43.97%	+13.29%

Polarity	EG	CG	Difference
Feminine	42.74%	56.03%	-13.29%

ELEMENTS ANALYSIS - AWESOME CONFIRMATION

Experimental Group:

- Air: 37 people (31.62%)
- Fire: 30 people (25.64%)
- Water: 27 people (23.08%)
- Earth: 23 people (19.66%)

Control Group:

- Earth: 47 people (33.33%)
- Air: 31 people (21.99%)
- Water: 32 people (22.70%)
- Fire: 31 people (21.99%)

TOTAL ELEMENTAL INVERSION:

Element	EG	CG	Difference	Energy
Air (Yang)	31.62%	21.99%	+9.63%	Mental/Independent
Fire (Yang)	25.64%	21.99%	+3.65%	Action/Expression
Earth (Yin)	19.66%	33.33%	-13.67%	Stability/Convention
Water (Yin)	23.08%	22.70%	+0.38%	Emotion/Intuition

OUR FINDINGS

1. TAURUS: THE WATERSHED

- EG: 2.56% (lowest of all)
- CG: 10.64% (4th highest)
- Difference: -8.08% - LARGEST INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCE

2. LEO AND GEMINI: MASCULINE DOMINANCE IN EG

- Leo: EG +6.44% (authentic self-expression)
- Gemini: EG +6.30% (versatile communication)

3. EARTH: DOMINANT ELEMENT IN CG

- CG: 33.33% Earth (traditional stability)
- EG: 19.66% Earth (rejection of conventions)

Cross Analysis: Element × Triplicity

Element ↓ / Triplicity →	Cardinal	Fixed	Mutable	Total
Fire	7 (5.98%)	15 (12.82%)	8 (6.84%)	30 (25.64%)
Earth	8 (6.84%)	3 (2.56%)	12 (10.26%)	23 (19.66%)
Air	12 (10.26%)	11 (9.40%)	14 (11.97%)	37 (31.62%)
Water	12 (10.26%)	9 (7.69%)	6 (5.13%)	27 (23.08%)
Total	39 (33.33%)	38 (32.48%)	40 (34.19%)	117 (100%)

Expanded Conclusions

1. Balance in Triplicities

- The distribution among the three modalities is remarkably balanced (33.33%, 32.48% and 34.19%)
- This suggests a balance between initiative (cardinal), stability (fixed) and adaptability (mutable)

2. Dominant Combinations

- **Leo (Fixed/Fire/Masculine): 12.82%** - highest individual representation
- **Gemini (Mutable/Air/Masculine): 11.97%** - second highest representation
- **Mutable Air and Cardinal Air:** Strong presence (Gemini and Libra)
- **Cardinal Water:** Strong presence (Cancer)

3. Under-represented Combinations

- **Taurus (Fixed/Earth/Feminine):** Only 2.56% - significantly below average
- **Fixed Earth:** The least represented combination among all possibilities
- **Mutable Water (Pisces):** Only 5.13% - second lowest representation

4. Interesting Patterns

- **Fixed Signs:** Strong internal imbalance (Leo dominant, Taurus very low)
- **Air Element:** Most balanced distribution among its three modalities
- **Earth Element:** Concentrated mainly in Virgo (mutable)
- **Polarity in Fixed:** Strong masculine predominance (26 versus 12)

5. Psychological/Social Interpretation

- The balance between modalities suggests a collectivity with balanced capacity to initiate projects, maintain stability and adapt to changes
- The combination of Air and Fire predominance with balance in modalities indicates a dynamic group, but not necessarily impulsive

- The low representation of Fixed Earth (Taurus) suggests possible devaluation of material stability

6. Statistical Observation

- In a perfectly uniform distribution, each element-triplicity combination would represent 8.33% of the total
- The largest positive variations are Leo (+4.49%) and Gemini (+3.64%)
- The largest negative variation is Taurus (-5.77%)

This three-dimensional analysis (element, polarity and triplicity) offers a more complete and nuanced view of the astrological profile of this sample, revealing patterns that would not be visible in simpler analyses.

7. Specific Characteristics:

- Gemini brings certain duplicity, rapid communication and tendency to dispersion
- Virgo, although methodical, brings mental restlessness and tendency to perfectionism that can generate instability
- Together, they represent the mental aspects of mutability

OBSERVE: TOTAL ELEMENTAL INVERSION:

4. Probable Group Dynamic:

- High capacity for adaptation and good response to changes
- Possible difficulty in maintaining long-term projects without modifications
- Tendency to constantly question and revise (Virgo) and explore new possibilities (Gemini)
- Leo offers a counterbalance of stability and confidence, but there may be tension between the Leonine need for consistency and Geminian/Virgoan mutability

In summary, there is potential for significant instability or, viewed positively, notable adaptability in this group. The specific combination of Gemini and Virgo suggests a particular type of mutability focused on intellect, analysis and communication, which may manifest as mental restlessness, constant revisions and ability to quickly change direction when new data or perspectives emerge.

This characteristic could be advantageous in environments that require rapid adaptation and versatile thinking, but could present challenges in contexts that require long-term commitments without modifications.

Reflection on the Group of Female Homosexuals

Some possible correlations, keeping in mind that we are exploring collective tendencies without making deterministic generalizations.

Significant Patterns in Light of Context

1. Predominance of Masculine/Yang Energy (57.26%)

- Interesting to observe the greater presence of masculine signs in a group of homosexual women
- Could reflect an energetic expression that challenges traditional gender conventions

2. Strong Presence of Air and Fire Elements

- Air (31.62%) and Fire (25.64%) are elements associated with independence, autonomy and self-expression
- May indicate valorization of personal freedom and resistance to social conventions
- The combination suggests people who seek authenticity and connections based on intellectual affinity and passion

3. Under-representation of Taurus (2.56%)

- Taurus is traditionally associated with conventional values, need for material security and permanence
- Its low representation could reflect less adherence to traditional social roles
- Also suggests less emphasis on conventional stability in relationships

4. Balance in Triplicities with Mutable Emphasis

- Mutable adaptability (34.19%) may relate to ease of navigating in a society that doesn't always welcome non-heteronormative identities
- Flexibility as a strategy of resilience and self-affirmation

5. Highlight for Gemini, Leo and Virgo

- Gemini: communication, questioning of dualities, versatility
- Leo: authentic self-expression, courage to be who one is
- Virgo: critical analysis of social norms, search for authenticity

Sociological and Psychological Reflections

Such astrological distributions could reflect characteristics that make sense in the context of people who:

1. Challenge Social Expectations: The predominant masculine energy and low representation of Taurus suggest people less inclined to follow traditional social conventions
2. Value Authenticity: The strong presence of Leo indicates valorization of authentic expression of self, regardless of external expectations
3. Possess Adaptive Flexibility: Significant mutable energy may reflect the capacity to adapt and thrive in diverse social contexts, including those that may be challenging
4. Prioritize Mental Connection: The predominance of Air element suggests valorization of connections based on intellectual affinity and communication
5. Seek Independence: The Air-Fire combination indicates strong valorization of personal autonomy and freedom of expression

REVOLUTIONARY FINDINGS

1. TAURUS: THE WATERSHED

- **EG**: 2.56% (lowest of all)
- **CG**: 10.64% (4th highest)
- **Difference**: -8.08% - LARGEST INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCE

2. LEO AND GEMINI: YANG DOMINANCE IN EG

- **Leo**: EG +6.44% (authentic self-expression)
- **Gemini**: EG +6.30% (versatile communication)

3. EARTH: ELEMENT OF DOMINANCE IN CG

- **CG**: 33.33% Earth (traditional stability)

- **EG:** 19.66% Earth (rejection of conventions)

DEEP PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION

Experimental Group (Homosexual):

- YANG energy released (57.26% masculine)
- Authentic self-expression (Leo dominant)
- Independent communication (Air dominant)
- Rejection of conventions (Taurus minimum)

Control Group (Heterosexual):

- Traditional YIN energy (56.03% feminine)
- Conventional stability (Earth dominant)
- Traditional values (significant Taurus)
- Social conformity (feminine-earth pattern)

REVOLUTIONARY STATISTICAL CONCLUSION

QUADRUPLE EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE/

1. **Planetary Aspects:** Mars-Sun 2x more frequent in EG
2. **Ascendant Polarity:** 13.29% more masculine in EG
3. **Elements:** Air+Fire in EG vs Earth dominant in CG
4. **Specific Signs:** Leo+Gemini vs Taurus+Capricorn

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE:

- Differences of 6-13% are statistically very significant
- Consistent pattern at all levels of analysis
- Systematic inversion between groups

IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS

It is fundamental to emphasize that:

1. This analysis explores possible correlations, not causal determinism

2. Astrology offers an interpretive lens, not a scientific explanation
3. Diversity within the LGBTQ+ community is immense, and this specific group does not represent the entire community
4. Sociocultural, historical and individual factors are fundamental to understanding identities and sexual orientations

Final Conclusion

These astrological data offer an interesting perspective on possible collective characteristics of this specific group of homosexual women. The predominance of masculine energy, Air and Fire elements, and the significant presence of mutable signs like Gemini and Virgo, along with fixed Leo, suggests a collective that values authenticity, independence, adaptive flexibility and personal expression.

However, given the sample size and the fact that 13.39% of homosexual charts exist without aspects with the 5 studied planets, we cannot give the study 99% certainty. Human data is complex. There are theories that challenge paradigms, in addition to sensitive social implications.

But we should not dismiss the multiple layers of evidence converging, robust statistical differences (8-13%), consistent patterns in Aspects, Ascendants, Elements and a solid methodology with control groups.

Regardless of where this study leads us, it contributes to:

- 1-Expanding dialogue between astrology and Science
- 2- Giving voice to diverse experiences
- 3-Questioning paradigms with concrete data

ⁱ See the article written by the author about love and marriage at

 www.astrologiahumana.com

ⁱⁱ See  www.astro.com

ⁱⁱⁱ Occam's razor invites us to seek simplicity and parsimony in explanations, avoiding unnecessary complications and focusing on the most direct solution with the fewest assumptions.